arXiv:1609.06235v2 [math.CV] 28 Sep 2016

Convergence and path divergence sets for bounded analytic functions in the disk.

Trevor Richards*

Mathematics Department, Washington and Lee University
Lexington, VA United States

September 29, 2016

Abstract

Let $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded analytic function, such that f has a non-tangential limit at 1. A set $K \subset \mathbb{D}$ which contains 1 in its closure is called a *convergence set* for f at 1 if $f(z)$ converges to some value ζ as $z \to 1$ with z in K. K is called a *path divergence set* for f at 1 if f diverges along every path γ which lies in K and approaches 1. In this paper, we show that if γ_1 and γ_2 are paths in the disk approaching 1, and f converges along γ_1 and γ_2, then the region between γ_1 and γ_2 is a convergence set for f at 1. On the other hand, if γ_3 is any path in the disk approaching 1 along which f diverges, then either the region above γ_3 or the region below γ_3 is a path divergence set for f at 1. We conclude the paper with an examination of the convergence and path divergence sets for the function $e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}$ at 1.

1 Introduction

Let $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic and bounded. It is well known (see for example Theorem 5.2 in [2]) that the non-tangential limit of f exists at almost every point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$. The sectorial limit theorem (see for example Theorem 5.4 in[2]) states that if f has a limit ζ along any path γ in $\mathbb{D}$ which approaches some point $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then the non-tangential limit of f at w exists and equals ζ. This paper is concerned with local convergence properties of f, so throughout we will assume that the point w being approached is just the point 1. We will also assume for the sake of convenience that unless otherwise specified every path γ mentioned in this paper is a path in $\mathbb{D}$ from -1 to 1. That is, $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma(0) = -1$, $\gamma(1) = 1$, and for all $s \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma(s) \in \mathbb{D}$. We will also use the symbol "γ" at times to denote the trace of γ as a subset of the plane.

To say that f has the non-tangential limit ζ at 1 is to say that for any Stolz region $\mathcal{S}$ with vertex at 1,

$$\lim_{z \to 1, z \in \mathcal{S}} f(z) = \zeta.$$

*Email: richardst@wlu.edu

In this paper we generalize the notion of convergence or divergence in a Stolz region as follows.

Definition Let $K \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a set, and assume that $1 \in \partial K$.

- If $\lim_{z \to 1, z \in K} f(z)$ exists, then we call K a *convergence set* for f at 1.
- If $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(z_n)$ does not converge for every sequence $\{z_n\} \subset K$, then we call K a *divergence set* for f at 1.
- If $\lim_{s \to 1^-} f(\gamma(s))$ exists for every path $\gamma \subset K$, then we call K a *path convergence set* for f at 1.
- If $\lim_{s \to 1^-} f(\gamma(s))$ does not exist for every path $\gamma \subset K$, then we call K a *path divergence set* for f at 1.

Although we made the definition above for a divergence set for f at 1 for the sake of symmetry in the definitions, we observe that since f is bounded, compactness considerations immediately imply that f does not have any divergence sets at 1 (or anywhere on the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$).

We can now restate the sectorial limit theorem by saying that if there is a path γ along which f has a limit, then every Stolz region with vertex at 1 is a convergence set for f at 1. In Section 2, we will prove the following theorem which guarantees the existence of convergence and path divergence sets for f at 1 in relation to paths along which f converges or diverges respectively. We must first make a definition.

Definition Let γ_1, γ_2, and γ_3 be paths.

- By the *region between* γ_1 *and* γ_2 we mean the set of all points $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that z is in γ_1, z is in γ_2, or z is in a bounded component of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$.
- The component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma_3$ which contains i in its boundary is called the *region above* γ_3, and the component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma_3$ which contains $-i$ in its boundary is called the *region below* γ_3.

Theorem 1.1. *Let γ_1, γ_2, and γ_3 be paths such that the limit of f exists along γ_1 and along γ_2, and does not exist along γ_3. Then the following hold.*

- *The region between γ_1 and γ_2 is a convergence set for f at 1.*
- *Either the region above γ_3 or the region below γ_3 is a path divergence set for f at 1.*

Note that the first item in the above theorem immediately implies the second item.

In Section 3, we will examine the convergence and path convergence/divergence sets for the function $g(z) = e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}$ at 1. Although no open disk $U \subset \mathbb{D}$ which is tangent to the unit circle at 1 can be a convergence set for g at 1, we will find a convergence set for g at 1 with smooth boundary close to 1 that is tangent to the unit circle at 1.

2 Main Results

In our proof of Theorem 1.1, we will use a result having to do with the cluster sets of a disk function, so we make the following definition.

Definition Let $h : \mathbb{D} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a meromorphic function such that h extends continuously to each point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ in a neighborhood of 1 except possibly at 1.

- The *cluster set of h at* 1, denoted $\mathcal{C}(h, 1)$, is the set of all values $\zeta \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that there is some sequence of points $\{z_n\}$ contained in the disk such that $z_n \to 1$ and $h(z_n) \to \zeta$.
- The *boundary cluster set of h at* 1, denoted $\mathcal{C}_B(h, 1)$, is the set of all values $\zeta \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that there is some sequence of points $\{z_n\}$ contained in the unit circle such that $z_n \to 1$ and $h(z_n) \to \zeta$.

We will use the following fact regarding these cluster sets (which appears as Theorem 5.2 in [1]), which says that the boundary of the cluster set for a meromorphic disk function h at 1 is contained in the boundary cluster set of h at 1.

Fact 2.1. *Let $h : \mathbb{D} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a meromorphic function such that h extends continuously to each point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ in a neighborhood of* 1 *except possibly at* 1*. Then*

$$\partial\mathcal{C}(h, 1) \subset \mathcal{C}_B(h, 1).$$

We continue with several lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let γ be a path. Then $\lim_{z\in\gamma, z\to 1} \gamma^{-1}(z) = 1$, in the sense that as $z \in \gamma$ approaches* 1*, $\max(1 - s : s \in \gamma^{-1}(z)) \to 0$.*

Proof. This follows from basic compactness and continuity properties. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let E_1 and E_2 be disjoint circles in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be any path. Then only finitely many of the components of γ^c can intersect both E_1 and E_2.*

Proof. For $r > 0$, define C_r to be the circle centered at the origin, with radius r. By first applying a homeomorphism to the sphere, we may assume without loss of generality that $E_1 = C_1$ and $E_2 = C_2$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that there are infinitely many components of γ^c which intersect both C_1 and C_2. Since each component of γ^c is open, there are only countably many of them. Let $\{F_j\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of the components of γ^c which intersect both C_1 and C_2. Since each F_j is open and connected in $\mathbb{C}$, it is also path connected. Therefore for each j, we may define a path $\varphi_j : [0, 1] \to F_j$ such that $\varphi_j(0) \in C_1$ and $\varphi_j(1) \in C_2$. By restricting φ_j if necessary, we may also assume that for each $t \in (0, 1)$, $|\varphi_j(t)| \in (1, 2)$. Define $a_j = \varphi_j(0)$ and $c_j = \varphi_j(1)$. Define $r_j \in (0, 1)$ to be the smallest number such that $|\varphi_j(r_j)| = 1.5$, and define $b_j = \varphi_j(r_j)$.

It is immediate from their definition that, for any $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $j \neq k$, then φ_j and φ_k are disjoint from each other. Since they do not cross in the region $\{z : 1 < |z| < 2\}$, it follows that the orientation of the a_j around C_1 is the same as the orientation of the

c_j around C_2 and the b_j around $C_{1.5}$. That is, for any distinct $j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, if a_j, a_k, a_l is the order in which those points appear when C_1 is traversed with positive orientation, then c_j, c_k, c_l is the order in which those points appear when C_2 is traversed with positive orientation, and b_j, b_k, b_l is the order in which those points appear when $C_{1.5}$ is traversed with positive orientation.

Since γ is continuous on the compact set $[0,1]$, it is uniformly continuous, therefore we may choose a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $s, t \in [0,1]$, if $|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)| > 1/4$, then $|s - t| > \delta$. Choose an $N > 0$ such that $N\delta > 1$. By reordering the elements described above, we may assume that the points $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N$ appear in precisely this order as C_1 is traversed with positive orientation. For the remainder of the proof, addition is done modulo $N+1$.

For each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$, let G_j denote the domain which is bounded by the paths φ_j, φ_{j+1}, the arc of the circle C_1 with end points a_j and a_{j+1} which does not contain a_{j+2}, and the arc of the circle C_2 with end points c_j and c_{j+1} which does not contain c_{j+2}. If $\gamma(1) \in G_j$ for some $0 \leq j \leq N-1$, we just remove this region from our list, and shift all indices greater than j down by 1. Thereby we may assume that $\gamma(1) \notin G_j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq N-1$.

Since b_j and b_{j+1} are in different faces of γ, the arc of $C_{1.5}$ with end points b_j and b_{j+1} which does not contain b_{j+2}, must intersect γ in some point $\alpha_j \in G_j$. Define $s_j \in (0,1)$ to be the smallest number such that $\gamma(s_j) = \alpha_j$. Since $\gamma(1) \notin G_j$, we may define $t_j \in (s_j, 1)$ to be the smallest number such that $\gamma(t_j) \in \partial G_j$. Define $\beta_j = \gamma(t_j)$.

The point $\beta_j \in \partial G_j$ must be in either C_1 or C_2, since the portion of ∂G_j which is not in either C_1 or C_2 (namely φ_j and φ_{j+1}) is contained in γ^c. Since $|\alpha_j| = 1.5$ and $|\beta_j| = 1$ or 2, it follows that $|\gamma(s_j) - \gamma(t_j)| = |\alpha_j - \beta_j| > 1/4$, and thus $t_j - s_j > \delta$. Note that for any distinct $j, k \in \{0, 2, \ldots, N-1\}$, since G_j and G_k are disjoint, $\gamma([s_j, t_j])$ and $\gamma([s_k, t_k])$ are disjoint, and thus $[s_j, t_j]$ and $[s_k, t_k]$ are disjoint. We thus have that $\{[s_j, t_j]\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$ is a sequence of disjoint intervals in $[0,1]$, giving us the contradiction

$$1 = \lambda([0,1]) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} t_j - s_j \geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \delta = N\delta > 1.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a path, and let F be a bounded component of γ^c. Then for any Riemann map $\tau : \mathbb{T} \to F$, τ extends to a homeomorphism $\tau : cl(\mathbb{D}) \to cl(F)$.*

Proof. Let E denote the union of every component of γ^c other than F. Since E is open, E is locally connected. By the Hahn–Mazurkiewicz theorem (see for example Theorem 3-30 in [3]) since γ is the continuous image of the unit interval, γ is locally connected. Thus $\mathbb{C} \setminus F$ is the disjoint union of two locally connected sets, and is thus locally connected.

Now the Caratheodory–Torhorst theorem gives that any Riemann map $\tau : \mathbb{D} \to F$ extends to a homeomorphism from the closure of the unit disk to the closure of F. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let γ be a path. If $\lim_{s \to 1^-} f(\gamma(s)) = 0$, then $\lim_{z \to 1, z \in \gamma} f(z) = 0$.*

Proof. Fix an $\epsilon > 0$, and choose a $\delta > 0$ small enough that for every $s \in (1-\delta, 1)$, $|f(\gamma(s))| < \epsilon$. Define $\iota = \min_{s \in [0, 1-\delta]}(|\gamma(s) - 1|)$. Then for any $z \in \gamma$ with $|z - 1| < \iota$, we must have $\gamma^{-1}(z) \subset (1-\delta, 1)$, and thus $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. We conclude that $\lim_{z \to 1, z \in \gamma} f(z) = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let γ_1, γ_2, and γ_3 be paths (subject to the assumptions mentioned in the introduction, that each path lies in the unit disk and interpolates from -1 to 1.

Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of points contained in the region between γ_1 and γ_2. The sectorial limit theorem immediately implies that the limit ζ of f along γ_1 must equal the limit of f along γ_2. Replacing f with $f - \zeta$, we assume that this common limit is 0. We wish to show that $f(z_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Partition the natural numbers two sets A and B by the rule that $n \in A$ if z_n is in either γ_1 or γ_2, and $n \in B$ if z_n is contained in a bounded component of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$. It is immediately clear from Lemma 2.2 that $\lim_{n\to\infty, n\in A} f(z_n) = 0$. It remains to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty, n\in B} f(z_n) = 0$.

Fix an $\epsilon > 0$. Choose an $\iota_1 > 0$ such that for all $s \in (1 - \iota_1, 1)$, $|f(\gamma_1(s))| < \epsilon$ and $|f(\gamma_2(s))| < \epsilon$. Define $\delta_1 = \min_{s\in[0,1-\iota_1]}(|\gamma_1(s) - 1|, |\gamma_2(s) - 1|)$. By Lemma 2.3, there are only finitely many faces of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$ that intersect both the domain $\{z : |z-1| > \delta_1\}$ and the disk $\{z : |z-1| < \delta_1/2\}$. Choose a $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1/2)$ small enough so that every bounded component of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$ which intersects the disk $\{z : |z - 1| < \delta_2\}$ is either contained in the disk $\{z : |z - 1| < \delta_1\}$, or contains 1 in its boundary.

Let F be some component of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$ which intersects $\{z : |z - 1| < \delta_2\}$.

Case 2.5.1. *F is not contained in the disk $\{z : |z - 1| < \delta_1\}$.*

Let $\tau : \mathbb{D} \to F$ be some Riemann map for F. Lemma 2.4 implies that τ extends to a homeomorphism $\tau : cl(\mathbb{D}) \to cl(F)$. We adopt the normalization $\tau(1) = 1$. Since f is analytic on $\partial F \setminus \{1\}$, it thus follows that $f \circ \tau$ extends continuously to every point on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ except possibly to 1. Moreover, as $\theta \to 0$, $\tau(e^{i\theta})$ approaches 1 in ∂F (which is in turn contained in $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$), so that $f \circ \tau(e^{i\theta})$ approaches 0 (by Lemma 2.5).

Therefore $\mathcal{C}_B(f \circ \tau, 1)$ consists of the single point 0 only. Since $f \circ \tau$ is bounded in the disk, compactness considerations imply that $\mathcal{C}(f \circ \tau, 1)$ is non-empty. Fact 2.1 now immediately implies that $\mathcal{C}(f \circ \tau, 1) = \{0\}$, and thus that $f \circ \tau(z) \to 0$ as $z \to 0$ in the disk. Finally we conclude that $f(z) \to 0$ as $z \to 1$ in F.

Case 2.5.2. *F is contained in the disk $\{z : |z - 1| < \delta_1\}$.*

By choice of δ_1, for all $z \in \partial F \cap \mathbb{D}$, $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. Compactness and continuity considerations show that if $\partial F \cap \partial\mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$, then $\partial F \cap \partial\mathbb{D} = \{1\}$, and work in the previous case shows that $\lim_{z\to 1, z\in F} f(z) = 0$. Thus we have that for all $w \in \partial F$, either $|f(w)| < \epsilon$ or $\lim_{z\to w, z\in F} f(z) = 0$. The maximum modulus principle now implies that for all $z \in F$, $|f(z)| < \epsilon$.

Since $f(z)$ converges to 0 as z approaches 1 in each of the finitely many components of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$ which intersect both $B(1; \delta_1)^c$ and $B(1; \delta_2)$, and $|f(z)| < \epsilon$ for all z in the components of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$ which are contained entirely in $B(1; \delta_1)$, we can choose an $\delta_3 \in (0, \delta_2)$ small enough so that for all z contained in a bounded face of $(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)^c$, if $|z - 1| < \delta_3$, then $|f(z)| < \epsilon$.

Now choose a $M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that for all $n > M$, $|z_n - 1| < \delta_3$. If $n > M$ is in B, then $|f(z_n)| < \epsilon$. We conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty, n\in B} f(z_n) = 0$, concluding the proof of the first item of the theorem.

In order to prove the second item, suppose by way of contradiction that neither the region above γ_3 nor the region below γ_3 is a domain of path divergence. Then there are

two paths ψ_1 and ψ_2 along which f converges, such that ψ_1 lies above γ_3 and ψ_2 lies below γ_3. By the first item of the theorem, the region between ψ_1 and ψ_2 is a convergence set for f at 1, but γ_3 lies in the region between ψ_1 and ψ_2, providing us with the desired contradiction.

□

3 Convergence and Divergence Sets for $g(z) = e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}$

In this section we will explore the convergence and path convergence/divergence sets of the function $g(z) = e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}$. We start with a definition.

Definition

- For any $-\infty \leq p < q \leq \infty$, define $H_{Re}(p,q) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : p < Re(w) < q\}$.
- For any $-\infty \leq p < q \leq \infty$, define $H_{Im}(p,q) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : p < Im(w) < q\}$.

Let $R : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Möbius transformation $R(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1}$. R is a conformal map from the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ to the half plane $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$. Moreover if $\{z_n\}$ is any sequence of points in $\mathbb{D}$, $z_n \to 1$ if and only if $R(z_n) \to \infty$ in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$. Thus in order to study the convergence and path convergence/divergence sets of g at 1, it suffices to study the convergence and path convergence/divergence sets of the function $h : H_{Re}(-\infty, 0) \to \mathbb{D}$ defined by $h(w) = e^w$, as $w \to \infty$ in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$, and we will treat the two settings as interchangable in what follows.

It is easy to see that as $w \to \infty$ in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$ in the real line, $h(w) \to 0$. Therefore by the sectorial limit theorem, if ψ is any path in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$ approaching ∞, and h converges along ψ, then h must converge to 0 along ψ.

Note that for $w = x + iy \in H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$, $|h(w)| = e^x$. Therefore in the following subsections we will use the fact that $h(w) \to 0$ in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$ if any only if $x \to -\infty$.

3.1 Convergence Sets for g at 1

While it is possible to construct a convergence set for h at ∞ in which h approaches any fixed value in the disk, we are interested in large sets in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$ in which h approaches 0. A set $G \subset H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$ is a convergence set for h at ∞ in which h approaches 0 (equivalently $K = R^{-1}(G)$ is a convergence set for g at 1 in which g approaches 0) if and only if for every sequence of points $\{w_n = x_n + iy_n\}$ contained in G, if $w_n \to \infty$, then $x_n \to -\infty$.

Therefore G is a convergence set for h at ∞ in which h approaches 0 if and only if for every number $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, the set $G \cap H_{Re}(p, 0)$ is bounded. Since the region to the left of a verticle line in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$ is mapped by τ^{-1} to the interior of a circle contained in the unit disk which is tangent to the unit circle at 1, we may translate the above observation to the disk as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a set with $1 \in \partial K$. K is a convergence set for g at 1 in which g approaches 0 if and only for every disk $D \subset \mathbb{D}$ which is tangent to the unit circle at 1, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $K \cap B(1; \epsilon) \subset D$.*

3.2 Path Convergence Sets for g at 1

It is of course easier for a set to be a path convergence set for h than it is to be a convergence set. Consider the following example. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let E_n be defined by

$$E_n = \left\{ \frac{-1}{n} + iy : 0 \leq y \leq n \right\} \cup \left\{ x + in : -\infty < x \leq \frac{-1}{n} \right\}.$$

Define E to be the union of the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ with the interval in the real line $[-1, 0)$, and let G be a small neighborhood of E. G is an open, unbounded, simply connected set, and any path ψ in G which approaches ∞ must have bounded imaginary part, and thus g must converge to 0 along ψ.

Thus G is a path convergence set for h at ∞. However, for any $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, $H_{Re}(p, 0) \cap G$ is unbounded, so G is not a convergence set for h at ∞. There does not appear to be a concise analytic characterization of the path convergence sets for g at 1.

3.3 Path Divergence Sets for g at 1

As mentioned above, for a path ψ approaching ∞ in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$, by the sectorial limit theorem if h converges along ψ, then h converges to 0 along ψ. Therefore for a given path ψ approaching ∞ in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$, h will not converge along ψ if and only if for some fixed $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\psi(s)$ revisits $H_{Re}(p, 0)$ infinitely often as $s \to 1^-$. Certainly for any $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, $H_R(p, 0)$ itself will be a path divergence set for h at ∞ (and thus given any disk D contained in the unit disk which is tangent to the unit circle at 1, $\mathbb{D} \setminus D$ is a path divergence set for g at 1). However as in the previous subsection, we will give a more interesting example. Let E denote the piecewise linear path in $H_R(-\infty, 0)$ obtained by concatenating the line segments with the following vertices listed in order:

$$-1 + i, -2 + 2i, -1 + 2i, -3 + 3i, -1 + 3i, -4 + 4i, -1 + 4i, \ldots.$$

Let G be a small neighborhood of E in $H_{Re}(-\infty, 0)$. G is an open, unbounded, simply connected set, and any path ψ in G which approaches ∞ must revisit the verticle line $\{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x = 2\}$ infinitely often, and thus g does not converge along ψ.

3.4 Convergence Set for g at 1 with Verticle Tangent Line at 1

We will finish by considering a specific convergence set for g at 1. First let us translate the problem to the upper half plane $H_{Im}(0, \infty)$ via the Möbius transformation $S : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $S(z) = \frac{-iz+i}{z+1}$.

S will transform any disk contained in $\mathbb{D}$ which is tangent to the unit circle at 1 to a disk contained in $H_{Im}(0, \infty)$ which is tangent to the real line at 0. Let $G \subset H_{Im}(0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$G = \left\{ x + iy : y > |x|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}.$$

G is the region above the graph $y = T(x) = |x|^{\frac{3}{2}}$. $T(0) = 0$ and $T'(0) = 0$, so $y = T(x)$ has the real line as its tangent line at $x = 0$. An easy calculation shows that the curvature

of $y = T(x)$ at $x = 0$ is ∞, and that for any $s > 0$, the half circle $y = s - \sqrt{s^2 - x^2}$ lies below $y = T(x)$ in a neighborhood of $x = 0$.



Figure 1: The convergence set K.

Therefore defining $K = S^{-1}(G)$ (depicted in Figure 1), we now have that K is tangent to the unit circle at 1, and for any circle C in the disk which is tangent to the unit circle at 1, the restriction of K to some small neighborhood of 1 is contained in the bounded face of C. By Proposition 3.1, K is a domain of convergence for g at 1 in which g approaches 0.

References

[1] E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater. *The theory of cluster sets.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.

[2] J. Conway. *Functions of one complex variable II.* Springer–Verlag, New York, 1995.

[3] J. Hocking and G. Young. *Topology.* Addison–Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1961.